IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 12644 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MANDVI TALUKA SAHAKARI KHARID VECHAN SANGH LTD.

Versus

AUTHORISED OFFICER (ELECTION) AND COOP. OFFICER (MARKETING)

Appearance:

MR JAYANT PATEL for Petitioners

MR PR ABICHANDANI, AGP for Respondent No. 1, 2

DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

Date of decision: 21/06/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr Jayant Patel, learned counsel for the petitioners states that since the petitioners' grievance in this petition was against non-inclusion of the petitioners' names in the voters list for elections to

the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Mandvi and since pursuant to the interim order dated 11.1.2001 the petitioners' names were included in the voters list, the elections are also held and the results are also declared which, according to the petitioners' knowledge, are not challenged by any party, nothing further is required to be done in this matter. The learned counsel, therefore, submits that the petition may be disposed of in view of the aforesaid developments.

2. Mr PR Abichandani, learned AGP appearing for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 has no objection to the aforesaid course being adopted particularly because no party has come forward for making a grievance against the aforesaid interim order of this Court or against the inclusion of the petitioners' names in the voters list on the basis of which the elections are already held.

3. In view of the above statements, the petition is disposed of in terms of the interim order dated 11.1.2001. Nothing further is required to be done in this matter.

Rule is accordingly made absolute with no order as to costs. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. Shah, J.)
sundar/-